# Research on the Method of extracting mining subsidence by combining improved U-Net model and DInSAR Technology

LIN Jiahui<sup>a</sup>, LIU Guang<sup>a</sup>, FAN Jinghui<sup>b</sup>, ZHAO Hongli<sup>b</sup>, BAI Shibiao<sup>c</sup>, PAN Hongyu<sup>a</sup>

a.University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China; b.China Aero Geophysical Survey & Remote Sensing Center for Natural Resources, Beijing, China; c. College of Marine Sciences and Engineering, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, China;

#### Abstract

Ground subsidence caused by the exploitation of mineral resources is not only

## **Study area**

- We select images from 11 October, 2019
  - to 22 December, 2019 for deformation monitoring.

#### **Method**

- We use deep learning model includes
  - FCN, PSPNet, Deeplabv3 and U-Net

an important factor to be considered in the development and utilization of land space, but also an obvious indication for the area of underground illegal mining. The difference interferometric phase diagram of subsidence mining area is obtained by using synthetic aperture radar differential interferometry (DInSAR) technology, FCN-8s, PSPNet Deeplabv3 and U-Net models are used to train the network. The results show that the U-Net model has high detection accuracy and takes short time. In order to improve the semantic segmentation extraction and

• The study area and SAR image coverages are shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1 Location of the study area and coverage of SAR data for subsidence monitoring in Shanxi Province.

### Image preprocessing

#### model.

In order to improve the detect
performance,we add four ECA
modules to the coding part of U-Net
model.

• ECA module can make the network pay attention to the target information effectively and ignore the irrelevant information at the same time.



accuracy of mining subsidence, the efficient channel attention (ECA) module is introduced into the traditional U-Net model for training. The ECA-UNet results show that compared with the traditional model, the intersection union ratio (IOU) corresponding to mining subsidence is increased by 2.54%.

• Preprocess the data includes image

coregistration, multi look, filtering and so



FCN-8s



Figure 2 Differential interferogram and conversion processing effect

Figure 3 ECA-Unet model structure

### Accuracy

ECA-Unet

U-Net

Table 1 Accuracy evaluation of different models on test dataset

	PA	MIoU	IoU	IoU (mining	Training
			(background)	subsidence)	time/h
FCN32s	97.71%	73.68%	98.21%	49.15%	1.84
FCN16s	98.32%	78.35%	98.50%	58.20%	1.88
FCN8s	98.53%	79.32%	98.58%	60.05%	2.11
PSPNet	98.16%	79.21%	98.65%	59.77%	6.50
Deeplabv3	98.20%	79.71%	98.57%	60.85%	7.50
U-Net	98.31%	79.24%	98.27%	60.20%	5.08
ECA-UNet	98.55%	80.58%	98.41%	62.74%	6.36

## **Result analysis and Conclusion**

Interferogram

•Randomly select an image from the test dataset, as shown in Figure 4. Compared with the result of traditional U-Net model, the edge contour of the result of ECA-UNet is smoother, which is closest to the visual interpretation of the mining subsidence label.

PSPNet



Figure 4 Results of different models

• We use ECA-UNet to obtain the mining subsidence area from the large-scale differential interferogram. The differential interferogram  $\frac{1}{1}$ 

phase diagram is shown in Fig.5 and the extraction result diagram is shown in Fig.6.(elliptical marks 1 and 2 are wrongly divided)



Label

Figure 5 Mining subsidence phase diagram



Deeplabv3

Figure 6 Segmentation results

Contact information: LIN Jiahui linjiahui20@mails.ucas.ac.cn