



# 2022 DRAGON 5 SYMPOSIUM MID-TERM RESULTS REPORTING

CBERS

HJ-1AB

CITIDA

Sentinel-2

Sentinel-3

Sentinel-5

#### 17-21 OCTOBER 2022

## PROJECTID. 175]

FIRST LEVEL 1 PRODUCT RESULTS OF THE GREENHOUSE GAS MONITORING INSTRUMENT ON THE GAOFEN-5 SATELLITE



Dragon 5 Mid-term Results Project



<18/OCT/2022, 10:20 AM-11:50 AM CEST>

ID. 175

PROJECT TITLE: FIRST LEVEL 1 PRODUCT RESULTS OF THE GREENHOUSE GAS MONITORING INSTRUMENT ON THE GAOFEN-5 SATELLITE

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS: HAILING SHI, JOCHEN LANDGRAF

**CO-AUTHORS: ZHIWEI LI, HANHAN YE, HAIYAN LUO, WEI XIONG** 

PRESENTED BY: HAILING SHI





- Objective
- Overview of the GMI
- Level 1 Processing Algorithm
- Geometric Correction Algorithms
- Level 1 Product Results
- Retrieval Results
- Plans



#### Objective



- THE GF-5(GAOFEN-5) satellite is the latest satellite to achieve hyperspectral resolution observations in the CHEOS (China High-Resolution Earth Observation System.)
- It was successfully launched from the Taiyuan Satellite Launch Center in May 2018
- GMI is mainly used to obtain global column concentration data on the GHGs carbon dioxide (CO2) and methane (CH4)













• the GMI payload on the GF-5 satellite adopts a novel form of spectroscopy, namely, SHS, to obtain the atmospheric absorption spectrum.

Objective

- The mechanism of the GMI is different from TANSO-Fourier transform spectroscopy (FTS)/GOSAT (Michelson interferometer) and OCO-2 (Grating), the data processing algorithms are quite different.
- The main objective is to introduce the processing algorithm for the Level 1 product (radiance spectrum) developed for the GMI and to discuss the first results of the hyperspectral-resolution spectrum from the GMI.





#### Instrument

- the GMI payload on the GF-5 satellite adopts a novel form of spectroscopy, namely, SHS, to obtain the atmospheric absorption spectrum.
- Compared with a conventional Michelson interferometer, the SHS interferometer replaces the moving mirrors with diffraction gratings, resulting in extremely high spectral resolution within a narrow band.









#### Instrument

#### Specifications of the GMI

	Values					
Specifications	Band 1	Band 2	Band 3	Band 4		
Detected gas	O <sub>2</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	CH <sub>4</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>		
Band range (µm)	0.759-0.769	1.568- 1.583	1.642-1.658	2.043-2.058		
Spectral resolution (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	0.6	0.27				
SNR (albedo=0.3; sun elevation=30°)	300			250		
Radiometric calibration	absolute accuracy: 5%, relative accuracy: 2%					
FOV	14.6 mrad (10.3 km @ 705 km)					
Scan	Cross track ( , Along track ( )					
Observation modes	Nadir: 1, 5, 7, 9 points (default mode is 5 points); Sun glint; Calibration					
Number of detector pixels	1024×1024	512×640	512×640	256×320		





#### Instrument

To improve the data accuracy, the GMI is going to carry out a calibration, the 2-D tracking mirror is adjusted from the nadir/sun-glint observation mode to the calibration mode







#### Products

- Level 0: The original data obtained by the GMI are in the form of an interferogram
- Level 1: The radiance spectrum obtained through the processing of error correction, Fourier transform, spectral calibration, and radiation calibration of the satellite downloaded detection data
- Level 2: global column concentration distribution data of CO2 and CH4
- Level 3: the global carbon flux and 3-D distribution of CO2 and CH4













**Data Screening** 





























- The SHS interferometer adopts the area array detector to collect the interference fringes simultaneously. This method has better stability, but at the same time, it also introduces a nonuniform response factor that the Michelson interferometer does not have
- The traditional flat-field method is not applicable, To solve the problem of SHS flat-field correction, the balanced arm flat-field method has been used







![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Spectrum Recovery

![](_page_18_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Geometric correction algorithms

![](_page_19_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Method

![](_page_19_Picture_4.jpeg)

$$I_c = a \cdot I_L + b \cdot I_S$$
$$a + b = 1.$$

- L1: the observation point is tangent to the coastline and is located on the land, which reflectivity is high
- L2 (the observation point is tangent to the coastline)
- L3: the observation point is tangent to the coastline and is located in the ocean, which reflectivity is weak

$$I_{j-1} \le I_j \le I_{j+1}, \quad I_j \in [T_1, T_2]$$

or

$$I_{j-1} \ge I_j \ge I_{j+1}, \quad I_j \in [T_1, T_2]$$

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Geometric correction algorithms

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Results

 $lon' = 1.00154 \cdot lon + 0.00119$  $lat' = 0.98858 \cdot lat + 0.01147.$ 

![](_page_20_Figure_5.jpeg)

Point —	GN	GMI		FI	Error	
	lon	lat	lon	lat	∂lon	$\delta$ lat
1	-20.710	48.610	-20.709	48.481	-0.001	0.129
2	-0.707	42.315	-0.663	42.277	-0.044	0.038
3	0.611	43.777	0.664	43.595	-0.053	0.182
4	-3.126	40.362	-3.105	40.131	-0.021	0.231
5	-20.749	48.419	-20.735	48.471	-0.014	-0.052
6	-13.723	40.546	-13.716	40.588	-0.007	-0.042
7	-0.205	42.681	-0.207	42.685	0.002	-0.004
8	0.560	43.643	0.657	43.581	-0.097	0.062
9	-2.765	40.145	-2.778	40.224	0.013	-0.079
10	-5.714	38.873	-5.677	38.820	-0.037	0.053
11	-7.262	39.195	-7.218	39.404	-0.044	-0.209
12	-9.934	39.751	-9.874	39.861	-0.060	-0.110

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Satellite

- sun-synchronous orbit at an altitude of 705 km with an equatorial crossing local time descending node (LTDN) of 13:30
- completes an orbit in approximately 100 min and operates on a global basis with a 7day orbit repeat cycle but with a 51-day footprint revisit cycle
- 1–9 cross-track points within +/–35 $^{\circ}$  of nadir, yielding an  $\sim$ 750-km cross-track range

![](_page_21_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_8.jpeg)

GMI grid point observations in the five-point cross-track scan mode

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### **Spectral Resolution**

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

SNR

![](_page_23_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

**SNR** 

### Level 1 Product Results

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

**Spectral Stability** 

![](_page_25_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_5.jpeg)

Wavenumber(cm<sup>-1</sup>)

Time (2018)	Band 1 (cm <sup>-1</sup> )		Band 2 (cm <sup>-1</sup> )		Band 3 (cm <sup>-1</sup> )		Band 4 (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	
	Line 1	Line 2	Line 1	Line 2	Line 1	Line 2	Line 1	Line 2
Jun 10	13017.9790	13179.9970	6336.4353	6334.6466	6064.0515	6079.4725	4861.9178	4887.6720
Jul 10	13017.9900	13180.0270	6336.4363	6334.6536	6064.0415	6079.4736	4861.9245	4887.6520
Aug 10	13017.9900	13179.9970	6336.4363	6334.6536	6064.0515	6079.4746	4861.9245	4887.6520
Sept 10	13017.9900	13180.0270	6336.4473	6334.6436	6064.0445	6079.4857	4861.9245	4887.6554
Oct 10	13017.9900	13179.9970	6336.4363	6334.6536	6064.0515	6079.4857	4861.9111	4887.6654
Maximum deviation	0.0200	0.0300	0.0110	0.0102	0.0070	0.0130	0.0130	0.0200

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### L1 Product

The independent absorption lines are consistent, and the difference in radiation brightness is small. However, the spectral resolution of the two instruments is not the same, so there is a certain difference in the depth of the absorption peak

![](_page_26_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Retrieval Results

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_4.jpeg)

TCCON verification stations and GMI observation points.

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Retrieval Results

![](_page_28_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_3.jpeg)

The GMI global atmospheric CO2 measurement results in spring basically cover the land area within the latitude of 70°, and have a good manifestation of the difference of CO2 concentration between the northern and southern hemispheres. Due to the influence of vegetation in the northern hemisphere in summer, CO2 concentration the in the northern hemisphere is often higher than that in the southern hemisphere.

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Retrieval Results

![](_page_29_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

• Enhance the collaborative application of greenhouse gas monitor load GMI and aerosol detector load DPC data on Gaofen 5 satellite;

Plans

• Explore the flux calculation of greenhouse gas load GMI and the analysis of global carbon sources and sinks

![](_page_30_Figure_4.jpeg)

Data source: Friedlingstein et al. 2021 Global Carbon Budget 2021. Earth System Science Data.

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Thank you!