



2022 DRAGON 5 SYMPOSIUM MID-TERM RESULTS REPORTING 17-21 OCTOBER 2022

CBERS

HJ-1AB

W MILLI

entinel-

Sentinel-2

Sentinel-3

Sentinel-5r

[PROJECTID.59376]

[Pacific modulation of the Sea level variability of the Beaufort Gyre System in the Arctic Ocean]





Dragon 5 Mid-term Results Project



17-October-2022

ID. 59376

PROJECT TITLE: Pacific modulation of the Sea level variability of the Beaufort Gyre System in the Arctic Ocean

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS: [Johnny. A. Johannessen (Norway), Jianqi Sun (China)]

CO-AUTHORS: [Roshin. P. Raj, Antonio. Bonaduce, Yang. Liu, H. Regan. S. Chatterjee]

PRESENTED BY: [Roshin. P. Raj]







Objectives

- Assess the role of variability of atmospheric modes in the Pacific Ocean and the Arctic Ocean on the BH and on the sea level variability of the region
- Advance the current understanding of the different mechanisms influencing the sea-level variability in the BG
- Validate climate models using observations and assess the sea level change with respect to natural versus anthropogenic origin





EO Data Delivery



Data access (list all missions and issues if any). NB. in the tables please insert cumulative figures (since July 2020) for no. of scenes of high bit rate data (e.g. S1 100 scenes). If data delivery is low bit rate by ftp, insert "ftp"

ESA Third Party Missions	No. Scenes	ESA, Explorers & Sentinels data	No. Scenes	Chinese EO data	No. Scenes
1. SSMI		1. ERS		1. HY	
2. AMSR		2. Envisat		2.	
3.		3. Cryosat		3.	
4.		4. Sentinel-3		4.	
5.		5. SMOS		5.	
6.		6. GOCE		6.	
Total: 2		Total: 6		Total: 1	
Issues: 0		Issues: 0		lssues:0	







In-situ data measurements and requirements

Beaufort Gyre Exploration Project

Ice Tethered Profilers

EN4 dataset and CMEMS CORA dataset

Field data collection campaigns and periods in P.R. China or other study areas

Climate models and Reanalysis





Results after 2 year of activity



Updates....

- Recent advancements in the Arctic Sea Level Research from space
- Assessment of Arctic Sea Level in CMIP6 models
- Teleconnection impacts







2020



Arctic Sea Level Budget Assessment during the GRACE/Argo Time Period

Roshin P. Raj ^{1,*}, Ole B. Andersen ², Johnny A. Johannessen ³, Benjamin D. Gutknecht ⁴, Sourav Chatterjee ⁵, Stine K. Rose ², Antonio Bonaduce ¹, Martin Horwath ⁴, Heidi Ranndal ², Kristin Richter ⁶, Hindumathi Palanisamy ⁷, Carsten A. Ludwigsen ², Laurent Bertino ¹, J. Even Ø. Nilsen ⁸, Per Knudsen ², Anna Hogg ⁹, Anny Cazenave ⁷ and Jérôme Benveniste ¹⁰





Arctic Sea Level Budget Assessment













ESA's Cryo-TEMPO project brings together a team of radar altimetry scientific experts and software engineers, to generate agile and state-of-the-art thematic data products

SAR and SARIn modes processed with a Threshold First Maximum Retracker Algorithm (TFMRA).

ADT (2010-2020)



Raj et al., 2022a, in prep



Data from 2010- present

Reduction of the Polar GAP

WRSEE Recent advancements in the Arctic Sea Level Research **COS** from space







Beaufort Gyre variability



JGR Oceans

RESEARCH ARTICLE 10.1029/2018JC014379

The Beaufort Gyre Extent, Shape, and Location Between 2003 and 2014 From Satellite Observations

Special Section: Forum for Arctic Modeling and

Heather C. Regan¹, Camille Lique¹, and Thomas W. K. Armitage²

Expansion of the Gyre No longer exists after 2016







Pacific modulation of the sea level variability in the Beaufort Sea

When BSADT index positive, Atmospheric mode seems like negative phase of NPO



Liu et al., 2022, in prep



Potential of Enhanced Altimetry at the high-latitudes

AltiDoppler project: Sentinel-3A, CryoSat-2, and SARAL/AltiKa altimetry mission data are newly reprocessed and optimized for the Arctic Ocean



Bonaduce et al., 2022, in prep



Potential of Enhanced Altimetry at the high-latitudes



Bonaduce et al., 2022, in prep





Sea Level Prediction and Reconstruction (SeaPR) Unit

Goal

SeaPR aims to establish a Sea Level Prediction and Reconstruction Unit at BCCR to provide and increase confidence in sea-level predictions and projections for more informed decision making.

2022-2025

- Understanding of the processes governing sea level during present times and assess predictability
- Reconstruct and attribute 20th century changes

NERSC

Project sea level with increased confidence





Assessment of Arctic Sea Level in CMIP6 models





Mean SSH (1993-2014)



, VRSCC











1 ACCESS-CM2	19 FGOALS-g3	Raj et al., 2022b, in pr	ер
2 ACCESS-ESM1-5	20 FIO-ESM-2-0		Historical simulations
3 CAMS-CSM1-0	21 GISS-E2-1-H		Monthly (1993-2014)
4 CIESM	22 HadGEM3-GC31-LL		
5 CMCC-CM2	23 HadGEM3-GC31-M	Μ	36 Climate models
6 CMCC-CM2-SR5	24 INM-CM4-8		
7 CMCC-ESM2	25 INM-CM5-0		FSA SLB CCI data
8 CNRM-CM6-1	26 IPSL-CM6A-LR		
9 CNRM-ESM2-1	27 KIOST-ESM		0 5 degree regrid
10 CanESM5-CanOE	28 MIROC6		0.5 degree regna
11 CanESM5	29 MPI-ESM1-2-HR	(a)	180°W
12 E3SM-1-0	30 MPI-ESM1-2-LR	ent a	G
13 E3SM-1-1-ECA	31 MRI-ESM2-0	R. Contraction	RS The
14 E3SM-1-1	32 NESM3		
15 EC-Earth3-AerChem	33 NorCPM1		
16 EC-Earth3-CC	34 NorESM2-MM	83	R BSC
17 EC-Earth3-Veg-LR	35 TaiESM1	2 Ja	NS
18 FGOALS-f3-L	36 UKESM1-0-LL		0°
			0



1 Anomaly Correlation Coefficient (ACC) 2 Index of Agreement (d) 3 the Index of Agreement (d1) 4 the Modified Index of Agreement (d (Mod.)) **5** the Index of Agreement Refined (dr) **6 Euclidean Distance (ED)** 7 the Mean Absolute H2 Error (H2 (MAHE)) 8 the Mean H₂ Error (H₂ (MHE)) 9 the Root Mean Square H2 Error (H2 (RMSHE)) 10 the Mean Absolute H₃ Error (H₃ (MAHE)) 11 the Mean H₃ Error (H₃ (MHE)) 12 the Root Mean Square H3 Error (H3 (RMSHE)) 13 the Mean Absolute H4 Error (H4 (MAHE)) 14 the Mean Absolute H5 Error (H5 (MAHE)) 15 the Mean H5 Error (H5 (MHE)) 16 the Root Mean Square H5 Error (H5 (RMSHE)) 17 the Mean Absolute H7 Error (H7 (MAHE)) 18 the Mean H7 Error (H7 (MHE)) **19 the Root Mean Square H7 Error (H7 (RMSHE))** 20 the Mean Absolute H10 Error (H10 (MAHE)) 21 the Inertial Root Mean Square Error (IRMSE) 22 the Kling-Gupta Efficiency (2009) (KGE (2009)) 23 the Kling-Gupta Efficiency (2012) (KGE (2012)) 24 the Mean Arctangent Absolute Percentage Error (MAAPE) **25 the Mean Absolute Error (MAE)** 26 the Mean Absolute Log Error (MALE)

27 the Mean Absolute Percentage Deviation (MAPD) 28 the Mean Absolute Scaled Error (MASE) **52 Error Metrics** 29 the Mielke-Berry R ((MB) R) 30 the Median Absolute Error (MdAE) 31 the Median Error (MdE) 32 the Median Squared Error (MdSE) 33 the Mean Error (ME) 34 the Mean Variance (MV) 35 the Mean Squared Error (MSE) 36 the Normalized Eclidean Distance (NED) 37 the Normalized Root Mean Square Error - IQR (NRMSE (IQR)) 38 the Normalized Root Mean Square Error - Mean (NRMSE (Mean)) **39 the Normalized Root Mean Square Error - Range (NRMSE (Range))** 40 the Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency (NSE) 41 the Modified Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency (NSE (Mod.)) 42 the Pearson Correlation Coefficient (R (Pearson)) 43 the Coefficient of Determination (r2) 44 the Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) 45 the Spectral Angle (SA) **46 the Spectral Correlation (SC)** 47 the Spectral Gradient Angle (SGA) 48 the Symmetric Mean Absolute Percentage Error (1 49 the Symmetric Mean Absolute Percentage Error (2 50 the Spearman Rank Correlation Coefficient (R (Sp 51 the Volumetric Efficiency (VE) Raj et al. 52 the Watterson s M (M)













Box plot of average rank difference at random grid points

The average rank difference is determined as the mean of all pair-wise rank differences for any pair of metrics.

The following equation is used to estimate each individual rank difference (r_{ij})

$$r_{ij} = M_j - M_i$$

Where i = 1 to n-1 and j = 2 to n. n is the total number of error metrics.



Raj et al., 2022b, in prep







Avereged across all the models and grid points

Box plot of the mean of average rank difference across all the grid points









Box plot of maximum rank difference at random grid points

The maximum rank difference is determined the maximum of all pair-wise rank









SCC

Box plot of the mean of maximum rank difference across all the grid points









Zhang Mengqi, Sun Jianqi, 2021: Impact of October snow cover in central Siberia on the following spring extreme precipitation frequency in southern China. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, 9: 785601, doi: 10.3389/feart.2021.785601.







extreme precipitation



SCC

Extreme precipitation: larger than 90th percentile of all rainy days in spring



Circulation anomalies related to October Snow reduction



Oct. 50 hPa vertical wave activity flux

50 hPa + 200 hPa geopotential height





Winter circulation anomalies related to October Snow reduction



Dec. surface wind + air temperature

Jan. – Feb. Arctic Sea ice

Jan. – Feb. zonal wavenumber-1 component



Spring circulation anomalies related to October Snow reduction

IRSCC







Project's schedule, planning & contribution of the partners for the following year

	-	
۲		

	20	2020 2021			2022			2023				2024				
	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2
Kick-Off	Χ															
Young Scientist 1 (MSc)									X	X						
Young Scientist 2 (MSc)													X	X		
Annual reporting				X				X				X				X
Scientific paper 1				X												
Scientific paper 2						X										
Scientific paper 3										Χ						
Scientific paper 4														X		
Final report																X





Contribution of the partners for the following year



Working on a **Joint paper (Paper 3)** on the Pacific modulation of the Beaufort Sea sea level Yang Liu (C), Jianqi Sun (C), Roshin. P. Raj (N)





Training of young scientists on the project, including plans for academic exchanges



Norway

• Training of two MSc students from UiB respectively during autumn 2022 and 2023.

China

- Ms. Yunrun Tian is a PhD candidate with a research focus on climate linkage between the Arctic and the lower-latitudes.
- Ms. Sichang Liu is a Master candidate with a research focus on climate variability over the Northern mid-to-high latitude.







Project ---- On track

Thank you !!!

Questions ?

email: roshin.raj@nersc.no

