



2022 DRAGON 5 SYMPOSIUM MID-TERM RESULTS REPORTING 17-21 OCTOBER 2022

Beijing-2

CBERS

HJ-1AB

TITIN MARK

Sentinel-1

Sentinel-2

Sentinel-3

Sentinel-5r

PROJECTID. 59313

GRASSLAND DEGRADATION DETECTION AND ASSESSMENT BY REMOTE SENSING



Dragon 5 Mid-term Results Project



**20/OCT** 

ID. 53913

#### PROJECT TITLE: GRASSLAND DEGRADATION DETECTION AND ASSESSMENT BY REMOTE SENSING

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS: ZHIHAI GAO, ALAN GRAINGER

**CO-AUTHORS: XIAOSONG LI, BIN SUN, HANWEN CUI, JUNTING YANG, CHAOCHAO CHEN** 

**PRESENTED BY: XIAOSONG LI** 







### **Objectives**

- To develop new methodologies for estimating grassland parameters related to degradation, such as vegetation structure, soil etc., based on multi-source ESA and Chinese EO data;
- To establish a robust grassland degradation assessment model through fusing EObased variables, biophysical models and field observations.







#### Study area







# EO Data Delivery



Data access (list all missions and issues if any). NB. in the tables please insert cumulative figures (since July 2020) for no. of scenes of high bit rate data (e.g. S1 100 scenes). If data delivery is low bit rate by ftp, insert "ftp"

ESA Third Party Missions	No. Scenes	ESA Third Party Missions	No. Scenes	Chinese EO data	No. Scenes
1.		1.Sentinel-2	128	1.GF-6	55
2.		2.Sentinel-1	85	2.GF-2	30
3.		3.		3.	
4.		4.		4.	
5.		5.		5.	
6.		6.		6.	
Total:		Total:	213	Total:	85
Issues:		Issues: All the data from GEE.		Issues:	







#### In-situ data measurements

- Collect time:2019
- Sample size:30m\*30m
- Vegetation, FVC(shrub and herbaceous respectively), AGB
- Soil, soil organic carbon(SOC) and soil total nitrogen(STN) in topsoil(0-20cm)







#### In-situ data measurements

Hulunbuir Grassland

- Collect time:2019~2022
- Sample size:30m\*30m
- Vegetation, FVC(shrub and herbaceous respectively), AGB







#### State of the Art:

The extent and rate of change of desertification, of which grassland degradation is a major component, have been persistently uncertain:

 The Third Edition of the World Atlas of Desertification (2018) included no maps of the actual distribution of desertification based on satellite data.
 Methods proposed in recent studies for using 'Big Data' to monitor Land Degradation Neutrality only lead to small reductions in uncertainty.

Measuring desertification at planetary scale is challenging because of its:

 High variability. The high areal and temporal variability of soil degradation and vegetation degradation often demand sensors with high to very high spatial and temporal resolution for accurate measurement.
 Complexity. Changes in multiple attributes of soil degradation and vegetation degradation must be measured and then combined to map the overall change in this complex phenomena.



Persistence of Uncertainty in Estimates of Global Extent of Desertification Using the Uncertainty Assessment Framework (Grainger, 2022)







#### • Seven Rules for Planetary Measurement

- 1. Define a phenomenon clearly and appropriately.
- 2. Specify the minimum number of attributes to measure
- 3. Disaggregate measurement of a phenomenon
- 4. Minimize spatial systematic errors: use sensors whose spatial resolution matches the areal variability of a phenomenon and whose spectral resolution matches its most distinctive property.
- 5. Minimize temporal systematic errors: choose a monitoring frequency consistent with the turnover time of a phenomenon.
- 6. Minimize systematic and random errors associated with the method used to classify satellite images.
- 7. Minimize systematic and random errors associated with the algorithm used to combine estimates of various attributes of a phenomenon.







#### **Planetary Measurement of Desertification**

Seven Rules	Applied to Desertification					
Definitions	Use UNCCD definition					
Specification	Include all 7 attributes					
Disaggregation	By land use, aridity and salinization type					
Minimize spatial systematic errors						
Vegetation area and density	Very high resolution					
Water erosion	Very high resolution					
Salinization, alkalinization, waterlogging	Medium to high resolution					
Wind erosion, soil compaction	New methods required					
Minimize temporal systematic errors	≤ 2 year monitoring frequency					
Minimize classification errors	Automated methods still awaited					
Minimize combination errors	Algorithms still awaited					





#### Shrub encroachment monitoring with S1&S2

A recent trend towards semi-arid and mesic grassland conversion to shrub dominance is global in scale and common to all vegetated continents • Over 5 million hectares of the inner Mongolian grassland has been encroached by Caragana microphylla. ■ Large scale monitoring is a challenge, since the herbaceous and shrub is difficult to identify in the spectral at the medium resolution.



Woody plant encroachment of grasslands





(b)

#### **Data collection and pre-processing**

EO data(GEE platform)

Sentinel-1: "COPERNICUS/S1\_GRD"

□ Spatial filter(mean)

□ Time series composition (monthly)

Sentinel-2 L1C: "COPERNICUS/S2"

- Cloud detection
- Vegetation indices

Maximum NDVI composition(monthly)







#### **Predictor variables**

Түре	Name	Formula
SAR	VH	mean
	vv	mean
Traditional VIs	NDVI	$\frac{B8 - B4}{B8 + B4}$
	EVI	2.5 $\left(\frac{B8 - B4}{B8 + 6B4 - 7.5B2 + 1}\right)$
	SAVI	1.5 $(\frac{B8-B4}{B8+B4+0.5})$
Red-edge	NDRE	$\frac{B6 - B5}{B6 + B5}$
	NDRE2	$\frac{B6 - B5}{B6 + B5 - 2B1}$
	MSR	$\frac{B6 - B1}{B6 + B1}$
	MTCI	$\frac{B6 - B5}{B5 - B4}$
	REP	$705 + 35 \frac{0.5(B4 + B7) - B5}{B6 - B5}$
	CI	$\frac{B7}{B5} - 1$
	IREcI	$\frac{B7 - B4}{B5/B6}$
	MCARI2	$\frac{B6}{B5}((B6-B5)-0.2(B6-B3))$
Canopy water content	NDWI	$\frac{B11 - B8A}{B11 + B8A}$
	MSI	$\frac{B11}{B7}$
	NDII	$\frac{B11 - B8}{B11 + B8}$







#### **Data collection and pre-processing**

### Field data









### Potential of S1&S2 for differentiating shrub and herbaceous

- S2 is significantly related to the total grassland vegetation coverage, but not sensitive to the shrub coverage.
- SAR data have a strong sensitivity to shrub coverage (VH polarization is better than VV polarization), among which the correlation between VH polarization data and shrub coverage in June can reach 0.64.





(c)灌木覆盖度为 50%的灌丛化草地实地照片及对应高分量





# Estimation of shrub coverage with RF model and S1&S2 predictors

The random forest model based on the combination of optical and radar data can achieve a high accuracy estimation of grassland shrub coverage ( $R^2 = 0.76$ , RMSE = 0.05), in which the contribution of sentinel-1 radar data is 71.54%, and that of sentinel-2 optical data is 28.47%.









### **Digital soil mapping(SOC, STN) driven by S2 variables**

- Soil properties is the key indicators of grassland degradation.
- Lack of high resolution products, existed product, spatial resolution is lower, limited samples and low accuracy in degraded grassland area.
   EO-based method with machine learning has the potential.

#### Framework for Monitoring and Reporting on SDG Target 15.3









#### Data used

### EO data

- Time: June to September, 2019
- Pre-process: Maximum NDVI composition
- Sentinel-2 band reflectance
- NDVI, DFI, CI, IRECI

### Ancillary data

Topographic: elevation, slope, aspect, TWI Climate: Average precipitation, temperature

# Soil properties

Sample size: 30m \* 30m

Depth: 0-20cm

Content: SOM and STN

Sample number: 140









#### **Model employed**

#### RF

A ensemble learning method based on decision trees ,could tackle the complex non-linear relationship model , and assess the variable importance.

#### SVM

A machine learning method based on the principle of structural risk minimization .

#### MLP

A multilayer perceptron (MLP) is a class of feedforward artificial neural network.











### **Relationship between predictor variables and SOC/STN**

#### **Predictor selection**

Theme	Name	Source	Resolution
Vegetation indices	NDVI DFI CI IRECI	Sentinel-2	10-60m
Climate factors	MAT MAP	ERA5 CHIRPS	$0.25^{\circ} \\ 0.25^{\circ}$
Topographic factors	Elevation Slope Aspect TWI	SRTM90_V4	90 m
S2 band reflectance	Reflectance	Sentinel-2	10-60m







#### **Performance between different models(ANN/SVM/RF)**



Validation accuracy

Variable importance







#### Spatial distribution map of SOC and STN with RF











### **GF-6 based grassland vegetation coverage and biomass estimation**

- GF-6 data is added with "red edge"
  band with a spatial resolution of 16 m, and a swath width of 800 km.
  - To explore the grassland monitoring capability of GF-6 WFV sensor, a remote sensing estimation model of grassland vegetation coverage and biomass was established







#### **Data collection and pre-processing**

- Image data: GF- 6 WFV
  - Radiation calibration
  - atmospheric correction
  - geometric correction
  - clipping







#### **Predictor variables**

Туре	Name	
Original band	B1-B8	
vegetation index	NDVI	=(B4 - B3) / (B4 + B3)
	EVI	= (2.5 * ((B4 - B6) / (B4 + 6 * B6 - 7.5 * B6 + 1))))
	RVI	= (B4 / B6 )
	SAVI	= (B4 - B3)*(1+0.5)/(B4 + B3+0.5)
	OSAVI	= (B4 - B3)/(data_nir+data_red+0.16)
	DVI	= (B4 - B3)
Red edge vegetation index	NDRE	=(B6 - B5) / (B6 + B5)
	MCARI2	=((B6 - B5)-0.2*(B6 - B5))*(B6/B5)
	MTCI	=(B6 - B5)/( B5-B3)
	NDVIre1	=(B4 - B5) / (B4 + B5)
	NDVIre2	= (B4 - B6) / (B4 + B6)





- 0.75

- 0.50

- 0.25

0.00

-0.25

--0.50

-0.75

b1_merge -		0.99	0.98		0.94		0.97	0.99	-0.91	-0.8	-0.81	-0.83	-0.88	-0.72	-0.87	-0.69	-0.9	-0.52	0.19	-0.63
b2_merge -	0.99		0.99		0.97		0.95	0.99	-0.9	-0.78	-0.79	-0.8	-0.86	-0.68	-0.85	-0.66	-0.89	-0.56	0.19	-0.68
b3_merge -	0.98	0.99			0.97		0.94	0.99	-0.94	-0.83	-0.84	-0.85	-0.9	-0.73	-0.9	-0.71	-0.92	-0.51	0.21	-0.71
b4_merge -				1		0.99					0.22	0.21	0.1	0.4	0.08	0.3		-0.61	-0.03	-0.45
b5_merge -	0.94	0.97	0.97				0.93	0.97	-0.84	-0.76	-0.71	-0.72	-0.78	-0.57	-0.81	-0.61	-0.85	-0.62	0.14	-0.75
b6_merge -				0.99					-0.05								-0.05	-0.69	-0.01	-0.49
b7_merge -	0.97	0.95	0.94		0.93			0.96	-0.88	-0.79	-0.78	-0.8	-0.84	-0.68	-0.85	-0.69	-0.88	-0.52	0.15	-0.63
b8_merge -	0.99	0.99	0.99		0.97		0.96		-0.92	-0.82	-0.82	-0.83	-0.88	-0.71	-0.88	-0.7	-0.91	-0.54	0.2	-0.68
NDVI -	-0.91	-0.9	-0.94		-0.84	-0.05	-0.88	-0.92	1	0.92	0.97	0.97	0.99	0.91	0.98	0.86	0.98	0.34	-0.24	0.61
RVI -	-0.8	-0.78	-0.83		-0.76		-0.79	-0.82	0.92				0.92	0.84	0.93	0.94			-0.16	0.61
EVI -	-0.81	-0.79	-0.84		-0.71		-0.78	-0.82	0.97	0.91			0.99	0.98	0.97	0.92	0.96		-0.23	0.51
SAVI -	-0.83	-0.8	-0.85		-0.72		-0.8	-0.83	0.97				0.99	0.98	0.97		0.96		-0.24	0.49
OSAVI -	-0.88	-0.86	-0.9		-0.78		-0.84	-0.88	0.99	0.92	0.99	0.99		0.95	0.98	0.89	0.98		-0.24	0.56
DVI -	-0.72	-0.68	-0.73		-0.57		-0.68	-0.71	0.91	0.84	0.98	0.98	0.95		0.92	0.91			-0.23	0.36
NDRE1 -	-0.87	-0.85	-0.9		-0.81		-0.85	-0.88	0.98	0.93	0.97	0.97	0.98	0.92		0.92	0.99		-0.19	0.56
MCARI2 -	-0.69	-0.66	-0.71		-0.61		-0.69	-0.7	0.86	0.94	0.92	0.91	0.89	0.91	0.92				-0.12	0.44
NDVIre1 -	-0.9	-0.89	-0.92		-0.85	-0.05	-0.88	-0.91	0.98		0.96	0.96	0.98		0.99				-0.2	0.6
NDVIre2 -	-0.52	-0.56	-0.51	-0.61	-0.62	-0.69	-0.52	-0.54	0.34	0.31	0.19	0.2	0.27	0.06	0.26	0.09	0.35	1	-0.14	0.55
MTCI -	0.19	0.19	0.21	-0.03	0.14	-0.01	0.15	0.2	-0.24	-0.16	-0.23	-0.24	-0.24	-0.23	-0.19	-0.12	-0.2	-0.14	1	-0.08
all_cover -	-0.63	-0.68	-0.71	-0.45	-0.75	-0.49	-0.63	-0.68	0.61	0.61	0.51	0.49	0.56	0.36	0.56	0.44	0.6	0.55	-0.08	1
	b1_merge -	b2_merge -	b3_merge -	b4_merge -	b5_merge -	b6_merge -	b7_merge -	b8_merge -	- INDN	RVI -	EVI -	- IVA2	OSAVI -	- ING	NDRE1 -	MCARI2 -	NDVIre1 -	NDVIre2 -	MTCI -	al cover -

Person Correlation Analysis Chart of Prediction Factors and Grassland Vegetation Coverage

b1_merge -	1	0.99	0.98	0.29	0.97	0.36	0.98	0.99	-0.91	-0.77	-0.83	-0.85	-0.89	-0.76	-0.87	-0.9	-0.56	-0.67	0.17	-0.22		-100
b2_merge -	0.99		0.99		0.98		0.95		-0.9	-0.74	-0.81	-0.83	-0.87	-0.73	-0.85	-0.88	-0.6	-0.63		-0.18		
b3_merge -	0.98	0.99			0.98		0.95		-0.95	-0.8	-0.87	-0.88	-0.92	-0.8	-0.9	-0.93	-0.53	-0.69		-0.2		- 0.75
b4_merge -	0.29	0.36	0.27	1	0.42	0.99	0.27	0.3	0.03	0.21	0.23	0.21	0.12	0.36	0.13	0.06	-0.57	0.38		0.28		
b5_merge	0.97	0.98	0.98	0.42	1	0.48	0.94	0.98	-0.87	-0.74	-0.77	-0.79	-0.83	-0.68	-0.84	-0.87	-0.62	-0.63		-0.2		
b6_merge	0.36	0.42	0.33	0.99	0.48	1	0.33	0.36	-0.03	0.14	0.17	0.14	0.05	0.3	0.07	-0 01	-0.67	0.32		0.24		- 0.50
b7_merge -	0.98		0.95	0.27	0.94		1		-0.89	-0.77	-0.8	-0.83	-0.86	-0.75	-0.86	-0.89	-0.54	-0.69		-0.31		
b8_merge -			0.99		0.98		0.96		-0.93	-0.78	-0.84	-0.86	-0.9	-0.77	-0.89	-0.92	-0.57	-0.68		-0.24		-0.25
NDVI -	-0.91	-0.9	-0.95		-0.87	-0.03	-0.89	-0.93	1	0.91	0.98	0.98	1	0.94	0.98	0.99	0.37	0.84	-0.17	0.28		
RVI	-0.77	-0.74	-0.8	0.21	-0.74		-0.77	-0.78	0.91			0.92	0.92					0.97	-0.09	0.42		
EVI -	-0.83	-0.81	-0.87	0.23	-0.77		-0.8	-0.84	0.98	0.93			0.99	0.99	0.98	0.97			-0.15	0.33		- 0.00
SAVI -	-0.85	-0.83	-0.88		-0.79		-0.83	-0.86	0.98	0.92				0.99	0.98	0.98		0.89	-0.15	0.34		
OSAVI -	-0.89	-0.87	-0.92	0.12	-0.83		-0.86	-0.9	1	0.92	0.99			0.96	0.99	0.99		0.87	-0.16	0.31		0.25
DVI -	-0.76	-0.73	-0.8	0.36	-0.68		-0.75	-0.77	0.94		0.99	0.99	0.96			0.94	0.16		-0.13	0.37		
NDRE1 -	-0.87	-0.85	-0.9	013	-0.84		-0.86	-0.89	0.98	0.93	0.98	0.98	0.99					0.91	-0.12	0.36		
NDVIre1 -	-0.9	-0.88	-0.93		-0.87		-0.89	-0.92	0.99			0.98	0.99	0.94				0.89	-0.15	0.35		0.50
NDVIre2 -	-0.56	-0.6	-0.53	-0.57	-0.62	-0.67	-0.54	-0.57	0.37					0.16			1	0.12	-0.26	0.06		
MCARI2 -	-0.67	-0.63	-0.69	0.38	-0.63	0.32	-0.69	-0.68	0.84	0.97		0.89	0.87	0.91		0.89	0.12	1	-0.04	0.5		-0.75
MTCI -									-0.17	-0.09	-0.15	-0.15	-0.16	-0.13	-0.12	-0.15	-0.26	-0.04	1	0.07		-0.75
all_biomass -	-0.22	-0.18	-0.2		-0.2		-0.31	-0.24											0.07	1		
	bl_merge -	b2_merge -	b3_merge -	b4 merge -	b6_merge -	b6_merge -	b/_merge -	b6_merge -	- INDNI -	- IMI -	EVI -	- INFS	- IWSO	- ING	NDRE1 -	NDVIre1 -	NDVIre2 -	MCARI2 -	MTCI -	- piomass -		

Person Correlation Analysis Chart of Prediction Factors and Grassland biomass



8





#### **Model employed**

Random Forest

A ensemble learning method based on decision trees ,could tackle the complex non-linear relationship model , and assess the variable importance.









#### **Estimated results**



# Estimation Results of Grassland Vegetation Coverage with 16m Resolution



Estimation Results of Grassland biomass with 16m Resolution





#### **Global grassland degradation mapping**

- Grassland degradation has been increasing in recent years, but there are few comprehensive diagnostic analyses.
- Common methods suitable at the local scale is a challenge for evaluation on a global scale.
- Developments in Earth observation technology have increased potential to serve these issues



Field survey of grassland degradation





#### **Data and methods**







# Methods for detecting changes in grassland degradation

- ✓ Preliminary analysis of the global extent : NPP、 Sen+Mann-Kendall trend test
- ✓ Final test results: MNPP、MI、Correlation analysis

Categories	Degrees	Change rates (%)				
	Very significantly degrading	<-30.0				
Degrading	Significantly degrading	-30.0~-20.0				
Degrading	Moderately degrading	-20.0~-10.0				
	Slightly degrading	-10.0~0				
	Slightly improving	0~10.0				
Improving	Moderately improving	10.0~20.0				
improving	Significantly improving	20.0~30.0				
	Very significantly improving	>30.0				







#### **Global Extent of Grassland Degradation and Improvement**







#### **Global Grassland Degradation and Improvement Degree**







### **Global Mechanisms Driving Grassland Degradation and Improvement**

This image shows the global spatial distribution of driving mechanisms of grassland degradation (left) and improvement (right)



- ✓ **Combined factors**: combined influence of climatic and human factors
- ✓ **Climatic and human factors**: a single role
- Most grassland degradation and improvement globally is influenced by combined factors, with a single factor having minimal scope





# 5.Schedule and plan for the following year

- Analyse the relationships between vegetation and soil parameters and field truth degradation status;
- Classify the types of grassland use based on the time series S1,S2 & Chinese GF data;
- Determine the baseline of grassland degradation through remote sensing-based variables and biophysical model simulation.







# **6.Contribution of partners**

- Alan, theoretical indicator framework of grassland degradation assessment driven by EO;
- Bin Sun, global grassland degradation mapping;
- Junting Yang, soil properties mapping with S2 by employing different machine learning methods;
- Jing Shao, monitoring of shrub encroachment into grassland with S1&S2;
- Hanwen Cui, grassland coverage and AGB monitoring with GF-6.





Name	Institution	Poster title	Contribution
Junting Yang	AIRCAS	Master student	Soil parameters monitoring with S2 data
Jing Shao	AIRCAS	Master student	Shrub encroachment mapping with S2 & S1 data
Hanwen Cui	AIRCAS	Master student	Grassland vegetation parameters mapping with GF-6 data